In the Claims:

Please cancel claims 1-11 and 46 as indicated below.

1. – 11. (Canceled)

12. (Original) A computer readable medium encoding of an implementation of a dynamically sizable shared object, the encoding comprising:

a definition of a node including a forward-direction pointer encoded integrally with a respective counter, the node instantiable as part of the shared object; and

a functional encoding of lock-free operations executable to traverse the shared object, each of the operations reading and atomically updating respective integrally encoded counters coincident with a related traversal, the functional encodings including both a forward-direction, counter-incrementing, pointer operation and a reverse-direction, counter-decrementing operation,

wherein corresponding executions of the forward-direction operation and the reverse-direction operation both atomically read and update the counter integrally encoded with the corresponding forward-direction pointer.

13. (Original) An encoding of a shared object implementation, as recited in claim 12,

wherein the node definition further includes a reverse-direction pointer; and

wherein the reverse-direction, counter-decrementing operation follows one of the reverse-direction pointers, but decrements the counter encoded with the corresponding forward-direction pointer.

14. (Original) An encoding of a shared object implementation, as recited in claim 13,

wherein the related traversals include traversals of corresponding ones of the forward-direction and reverse direction pointers.

15. (Original) An encoding of a shared object implementation, as recited in claim 12,

wherein the reverse direction operation uses node information recorded as part of the execution of the forward direction operation.

16. (Original) An encoding of a shared object implementation, as recited in claim 12,

wherein the shared object implements a collect object.

17. (Original) An encoding of a shared object implementation, as recited in claim 16,

wherein the operations include a collect operation that employs forward-direction operations as it searches through nodes of the shared object.

18. (Original) An encoding of a shared object implementation, as recited in claim 17,

wherein the collect operation employs reverse-direction operations to remove nodes of the shared object.

19. (Original) An encoding of a shared object implementation, as recited in claim 16,

wherein the forward-direction operations include register operations; and

wherein the reverse-direction operations include deregister operations.

20. (Original) An encoding of a shared object implementation, as recited in claim 16,

wherein the reverse-direction operations include cleanup operations.

21. (Original) An encoding of a shared object implementation, as recited in claim 16,

wherein the encoding of the counter distinguishes contributions of collect operations from those of non-collect operations.

22. (Original) An encoding of a shared object implementation, as recited in claim 12,

wherein the shared object implements a space adaptive guard array for a value recycling solution.

23. (Original) An encoding of a shared object implementation, as recited in claim 12,

wherein the shared object implements a space adaptive renaming solution.

24. (Original) An encoding of a shared object implementation, as recited in claim 12,

wherein the atomic read and update functionality is provided using a single target synchronization primitive.

25. (Original) An encoding of a shared object implementation, as recited in claim 24,

wherein the single-target synchronization primitive includes a Compare-And-Swap (CAS) operation.

26. (Original) An encoding of a shared object implementation, as recited in claim 24,

wherein the single-target synchronization primitive includes a Load-Linked (LL) and Store-Conditional (SC) operation pair.

27. (Original) An encoding of a shared object implementation, as recited in claim 12,

wherein the atomic read and update functionality is provided using an atomic operation and operations on the shared object are wait-free.

28. (Original) An encoding of a shared object implementation, as recited in claim 12,

wherein, when instantiated as part of the shared object, the nodes are organized with predecessor and successor relations thereamongst, and

wherein in-degree of each one of the nodes is at most one (1) and an immediate predecessor one of the nodes can be identified from a successor one of the nodes.

29. (Original) An encoding of a shared object implementation, as recited in claim 12,

wherein, when instantiated as part of the shared object, the nodes are organized as a list.

30. (Original) An encoding of a shared object implementation, as recited in claim 12,

wherein, when instantiated as part of the shared object, the nodes are organized as a hierarchy.

31. (Original) An encoding of a shared object implementation, as recited in claim 12,

wherein the implementation is population oblivious and for which consumption of storage is adaptive independent of any historical maximum.

32. (Original) An encoding of a shared object implementation, as recited in claim 12,

wherein failure of a thread does not prevent all future reclamation, by a non-failed thread, of storage associated with the shared object.

33. (Original) A method of implementing a population-oblivious, dynamically sizable, lock-free shared object, the method comprising:

defining of nodes of the shared object to include a forward-direction pointer encoded integrally with a respective counter;

defining operations executable to traverse the shared object, each of the operations reading and atomically updating respective integrally encoded counters coincident with a related traversal operation, the encodings including both a forward-direction, counter-incrementing, pointer operation and a reverse-direction, counter decrementing operation, wherein corresponding executions of the forward-direction operation and the reverse-direction operation both atomically read and update the counter integrally encoded with the corresponding forward-direction pointer.

34. (Original) The method of claim 33, further comprising:

defining the nodes of the shared object to further include a reverse-direction pointer,

wherein the reverse-direction, counter-decrementing operation follows one of the reverse-direction pointers, but decrements the counter encoded with the corresponding forward-direction pointer.

35. (Original) The method of claim 33, further comprising:

recording node information as part of execution of the forward-direction operation; and

using the recorded node information for traversal by the reverse-direction operation.

36. (Original) The method of claim 33,

wherein the population-oblivious, dynamically sizable, lock-free shared object implements a collect object.

37. (Original) The method of claim 36, further comprising:

distinguishing, in the encoding of the counter, contributions of collect operations from those of non-collect operations.

38. (Original) The method of claim 33,

wherein the population-oblivious, dynamically sizable, lock-free shared object implements a space adaptive guard array for a value recycling solution.

39. (Original) The method of claim 33,

wherein the atomic read and update functionality is provided using a single target synchronization primitive.

40. (Original) The method of claim 39, wherein individual instances of the single-target synchronization primitive include one of:

a Compare-And-Swap (CAS) operation; and

a Load-Linked (LL) and Store-Conditional (SC) operation pair.

41. (Original) The method of claim 33,

wherein, when instantiated as part of the shared object, the nodes are organized with predecessor and successor relations thereamongst, and

wherein in-degree of each one of the nodes is at most one (1) and an immediate predecessor one of the nodes can be identified from a successor one of the nodes.

42. (Original) The method of claim 33,

wherein, when instantiated as part of the shared object, the nodes are organized as a list.

43. (Original) The method of claim 33,
wherein, when instantiated as part of the shared object, the nodes are organized as a hierarchy.

44. (Original) The method of claim 33,
wherein the shared object is adaptive independent of any historical maximum.

45. (Original) The method of claim 33,
wherein failure of a thread that operates on the shared object does not prevent all future reclamation, by a non-failed thread, of storage associated with the shared object.

46. (Canceled)